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## Introduction

As colleges and universities play increasingly important roles in our society, it becomes even more critical for them to perform effectively when it comes to matters of diversity, equity, and inclusion. In response, higher education leaders have demonstrated a growing interest in strategic diversity leadership practices and principles that will help them build high-performing diverse institutions.

Despite this new emphasis, little information is available about what works and what does not in regard to building organizational diversity capabilities at colleges and universities. This is largely due to the sector's historic inattention to diversity-themed capacity-building and leveraging the most promising practices to advance diversity. However, this situation is changing as a growing community of diversity leaders is engaging nationally in exciting dialogue around the topic and sharing what works as part of a growing community of practice.

## The Higher Education Excellence in Diversity Award Data Report

The 2017-2018 INSIGHT Into Diversity Higher Education Excellence in Diversity (HEED) Award Data Report highlights the characteristics and diversity capabilities of the 80 HEED Award recipients of 2017. Leaders at INSIGHT Into Diversity created the HEED Award to annually recognize institutions that meaningfully strive to be leaders in the higher education diversity and inclusion arena.

Every institution that receives the HEED Award has applied a strategic diversity leadership approach to advancing diversity, equity, and inclusion efforts at their institution. The award is open to all colleges and universities throughout the U.S. The application process considers an institution's level of achievement and intensity of commitment to broadening diversity and inclusion on its campus through strategic initiatives, programs, and outreach; student recruitment, retention, and completion; and hiring practices for faculty and staff. Applications are comprehensive and cover numerous aspects of campus diversity.

The following charts offer an analysis of the data collected through the 2017 HEED Award applications from the 80 institutions recognized by INSIGHT Into Diversity as 2017 HEED Award recipients.

To learn more about the HEED Award and see an example of the 2017 application, visit insightintodiversity.com/heedaward.
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## Type of Institution

Baccalaureate-granting schools (71)
Community colleges (5)

Law schools (4)


PUBLIC
Total of 65 (81.25\%)


PRIVATE
Total of 15 (18.75\%)

## STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS

## Full-Time Undergraduate Students

|  | Public Schools | Private <br> Schools | Total | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4,999 | 2 | 8 | 10 | 13.16\% |
| 5,000-9,999 | 10 | 1 | 11 | 14.47\% |
| 10,000-14,999 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 9.21\% |
| 15,000-29,999 | 31 | 3 | 34 | 44.74\% |
| 30,000 and over | 14 | 0 | 14 | 18.42\% |
| Total | 64 | 12 | 76 | 95.00\% |

## Full-Time Graduate Students

|  | Public <br> Schools | Private <br> Schools | Total | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-999$ | 14 | 7 | 21 | $29.58 \%$ |
| $1,000-2,999$ | 13 | 1 | 14 | $19.72 \%$ |
| 3,000-4,999 | 10 | 1 | 11 | $15.49 \%$ |
| 5,000 and over | 21 | 4 | 25 | $35.21 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 8 . 7 5 \%}$ |

## Gender of Full-Time Undergraduate Students

|  | Public Schools | Private Schools |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Male | $49.90 \%$ | $46.72 \%$ |
| Female | $50.03 \%$ | $53.20 \%$ |
| Non-binary | $0.03 \%$ | $0.05 \%$ |
| Transgender | $0.04 \%$ | $0.03 \%$ |
| Total | $100.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |

## Race/Ethnicity of Full-Time Undergraduate Students

Public Schools

| $59.78 \%$ | $48.24 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $9.79 \%$ | $5.20 \%$ |
| $13.56 \%$ | $8.98 \%$ |
| $6.56 \%$ | $11.32 \%$ |
| $0.39 \%$ | $0.40 \%$ |
| $0.22 \%$ | $0.08 \%$ |
| $3.67 \%$ | $3.75 \%$ |
| $3.72 \%$ | $12.99 \%$ |
| $2.31 \%$ | $9.04 \%$ |
| $100.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |

## Gender of Full-Time Graduate Students

|  | Public Schools | Private Schools |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Male | $47.21 \%$ | $44.02 \%$ |
| Female | $52.74 \%$ | $55.97 \%$ |
| Non-binary | $0.05 \%$ | $0.01 \%$ |
| Transgender | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 \%}$ |

## Race/Ethnicity of Full-Time Graduate Students

|  | Public Schools | Private Schools |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White/Caucasian | $57.28 \%$ | $43.35 \%$ |
| frican American/Black | $6.46 \%$ | $6.99 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $7.10 \%$ | $8.60 \%$ |
| Asian American | $4.96 \%$ | $6.74 \%$ |
| Native American | $0.39 \%$ | $0.74 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | $0.07 \%$ | $0.28 \%$ |
| Multiracial | $2.24 \%$ | $2.09 \%$ |
| International | $17.33 \%$ | $24.73 \%$ |
| Unknown/other | $4.17 \%$ | $6.48 \%$ |
| Total | $100.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |

## LEADERSHIP DEMOGRAPHICS

## Gender of Administrative Leadership (Deans and Above)

|  | Public Schools | Private Schools |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Male | $55.92 \%$ | $48.65 \%$ |
| Female | $44.08 \%$ | $51.35 \%$ |
| Non-binary | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Transgender | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 \%}$ |

## Race/Ethnicity of Administrative Leadership

| White/Caucasian | $77.74 \%$ | $74.87 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| African American/Black | $10.40 \%$ | $9.96 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $5.00 \%$ | $6.66 \%$ |
| Asian American | $3.89 \%$ | $3.23 \%$ |
| Native American | $0.84 \%$ | $1.03 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | $0.00 \%$ | $0.07 \%$ |
| Multiracial | $0.50 \%$ | $2.80 \%$ |
| International | $0.20 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Unknown/other | $1.43 \%$ | $1.38 \%$ |
| Total | $100.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |

## Gender of Full-Time Tenured and Tenure-Track Faculty

|  | Public Schools | Private Schools |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Male | $59.27 \%$ | $57.76 \%$ |
| Female | $40.73 \%$ | $42.24 \%$ |
| Non-binary | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Transgender | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Total | $100.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |

## Race/Ethnicity of Full-Time Tenured and Tenure-Track Faculty

| White/Caucasian | $70.39 \%$ | $75.11 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| African American/Black | $6.29 \%$ | $6.43 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $5.25 \%$ | $4.15 \%$ |
| Asian American | $11.46 \%$ | $8.88 \%$ |
| Native American | $0.30 \%$ | $0.33 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | $0.09 \%$ | $0.05 \%$ |
| Multiracial | $0.65 \%$ | $0.78 \%$ |
| International | $3.23 \%$ | $3.25 \%$ |
| Unknown/other | $2.34 \%$ | $1.02 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 \%}$ |

## Gender of Full-Time Non-tenured Faculty

|  | Public Schools | Private Schools |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Male | $46.82 \%$ | $43.46 \%$ |
| Female | $53.18 \%$ | $56.54 \%$ |
| Non-binary | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Transgender | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 \%}$ |

## Race/Ethnicity of Full-Time Non-tenured Faculty

|  | Public Schools | Private Schools |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| White/Caucasian | $75.20 \%$ | $74.95 \%$ |
| African American/Black | $5.13 \%$ | $5.76 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $5.35 \%$ | $6.63 \%$ |
| Asian American | $6.36 \%$ | $5.00 \%$ |
| Native American | $0.34 \%$ | $1.07 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | $0.09 \%$ | $0.03 \%$ |
| Multiracial | $0.81 \%$ | $0.68 \%$ |
| International | $2.96 \%$ | $4.51 \%$ |
| Unknown/other | $3.76 \%$ | $1.37 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 \%}$ |

## Gender of Adjunct Faculty

|  | Public Schools | Private Schools |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Male | $49.35 \%$ | $54.22 \%$ |
| Female | $50.61 \%$ | $45.78 \%$ |
| Non-binary | $0.04 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Transgender | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 \%}$ |

## Race/Ethnicity of Adjunct Faculty

White/Caucasian
African American/Black
Hispanic/Latino
Asian American
Native American
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander
Multiracial
International
Unknown/other
Total

Public Schools

| $76.74 \%$ | $74.20 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $5.75 \%$ | $5.92 \%$ |
| $5.00 \%$ | $4.28 \%$ |
| $5.17 \%$ | $4.33 \%$ |
| $0.38 \%$ | $0.48 \%$ |
| $0.07 \%$ | $0.02 \%$ |
| $0.87 \%$ | $1.19 \%$ |
| $1.89 \%$ | $1.44 \%$ |
| $4.13 \%$ | $8.14 \%$ |
| $100.00 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 \%}$ |

## Gender of Full-Time Non-instructional Staff

|  | Public Schools | Private Schools |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Male | $42.47 \%$ | $42.57 \%$ |
| Female | $57.53 \%$ | $57.43 \%$ |
| Non-binary | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Transgender | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 \%}$ |

## Race/Ethnicity of Full-Time Non-instructional Staff

Public Schools

White/Caucasian
African American/Black
Hispanic/Latino

Asian American

Native American
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander
Multiracial
International
Unknown/other

Total

| $68.83 \%$ | $63.58 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $13.12 \%$ | $10.31 \%$ |
| $8.42 \%$ | $9.75 \%$ |
| $4.78 \%$ | $7.75 \%$ |
| $0.50 \%$ | $0.76 \%$ |
| $0.16 \%$ | $0.19 \%$ |
| $1.07 \%$ | $2.18 \%$ |
| $1.03 \%$ | $2.70 \%$ |
| $2.09 \%$ | $2.78 \%$ |
| $100.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |

## Gender of Governing Board Members

|  | Public Schools | Private Schools |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Male | $69.17 \%$ |  |
| Female | $30.83 \%$ | $66.70 \%$ |
| Non-binary | $0.00 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 3 . 3 0 \%}$ |
| Transgender | $0.00 \%$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 \%}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 \%}$ |

## Race/Ethnicity of Governing Board Members

| White/Caucasian | $75.93 \%$ | $78.56 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| African American/Black | $11.03 \%$ | $10.17 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $6.82 \%$ | $3.17 \%$ |
| Asian American | $3.33 \%$ | $4.47 \%$ |
| Native American | $0.09 \%$ | $0.14 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | $0.05 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Multiracial | $0.73 \%$ | $2.20 \%$ |
| International | $0.00 \%$ | $0.40 \%$ |
| Unknown/other | $2.02 \%$ | $0.89 \%$ |
| Total | $100.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |

## STUDENT RECRUITMENT AND RETENTION EFFORTS

## Efforts to Recruit Historically Underrepresented and First-Generation Students

|  | Public <br> Schools | Private <br> Schools | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Community outreach efforts | 65 | 15 | 80 |
| Admissions officers with a diversity focus | 61 | 13 | 74 |
| On-campus diversity recruitment efforts | 63 | 14 | 77 |
| Community college bridge programs | 55 | 7 | 62 |

## Efforts to Improve Retention and Graduation Rates for Historically Underrepresented Students

|  | Public Schools | Private Schools | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Free tutoring support | 64 | 13 | 77 |
| Academically themed diverse student organizations | 62 | 13 | 75 |
| First-year experience programs | 63 | 12 | 75 |
| Cohort-based academic success and leadership programs | 63 | 11 | 74 |
| Early-warning systems | 60 | 10 | 70 |
| Institutional research on student success patterns | 61 | 12 | 73 |
| Summer bridge programs | 56 | 14 | 70 |
| Campus-wide retention strategic plan | 55 | 10 | 65 |
| Supplemental instruction | 58 | 10 | 68 |
| Culturally relevant advising | 54 | 13 | 67 |
| Mentorship programs | 63 | 11 | 74 |

## Community College Three-Year Graduation Rates for Full-Time Students

|  | Public Schools | Private Schools | Overall |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall | $\mathbf{2 1 . 0 9 \%}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 0 9 \%}$ |
| White/Caucasian | $30.59 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $30.59 \%$ |
| African American/Black | $11.27 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $11.27 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $15.80 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $15.80 \%$ |
| Asian American | $20.85 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $20.85 \%$ |
| Native American | $9.06 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $9.06 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | $18.31 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $18.31 \%$ |
| Multiracial | $9.30 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $9.30 \%$ |
| International | $19.02 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $19.02 \%$ |
| Unknown | $16.61 \%$ | $16.61 \%$ |  |

## Baccalaureate-Granting Institution Six-Year Graduation Rates for Full-Time Students

|  | Public Schools | Private Schools | Overall |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall | $\mathbf{5 9 . 3 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 . 3 8 \%}$ | $65.05 \%$ |
| White/Caucasian | $61.40 \%$ | $78.28 \%$ | $67.01 \%$ |
| African American/Black | $48.88 \%$ | $65.47 \%$ | $53.89 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $54.88 \%$ | $70.89 \%$ | $60.04 \%$ |
| Asian American | $59.92 \%$ | $75.07 \%$ | $66.17 \%$ |
| Native American | $45.31 \%$ | $43.45 \%$ | $49.30 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | $35.65 \%$ | $24.25 \%$ | $45.78 \%$ |
| Multiracial | $46.21 \%$ | $63.82 \%$ | $55.44 \%$ |
| International | $56.26 \%$ | $51.02 \%$ | $62.70 \%$ |
| Unknown | $51.60 \%$ | $45.13 \%$ | $59.14 \%$ |

## FACULTY RECRUITMENT AND RETENTION

Strategies Used to Increase the Ethnic, Racial, and Gender Diversity of Instructional Faculty

| Advertisements in diversity-only publications and/or job boards | 60 | 92\% | 14 | 93\% | 74 | 93\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Participation in diversity recruitment events | 54 | 83\% | 11 | 73\% | 65 | 81\% |
| Faculty diversity strategic plan | 43 | 66\% | 11 | 73\% | 54 | 68\% |
| National partnership efforts | 49 | 75\% | 10 | 67\% | 59 | 74\% |
| Pipeline programs for future faculty | 37 | 57\% | 11 | 73\% | 48 | 60\% |
| Hosting future faculty diversity symposiums on campus | 35 | 54\% | 8 | 53\% | 43 | 54\% |
| Grant-funded initiatives to increase retention (e.g., NSF Advance program) | 37 | 57\% | 6 | 40\% | 43 | 54\% |
| Strategic funds to hire diverse candidates | 44 | 68\% | 6 | 40\% | 50 | 63\% |
| Strategic funds to increase financial offers to diverse job candidates | 44 | 68\% | 9 | 60\% | 53 | 66\% |
| Dedicated faculty diversity recruitment specialist | 32 | 49\% | 6 | 40\% | 38 | 48\% |
| Diversity-themed postdoctoral fellowships | 28 | 43\% | 7 | 47\% | 35 | 44\% |
| Diverse faculty exchange programs (e.g., with HBCUs) | 14 | 22\% | 5 | 33\% | 19 | 24\% |
| Request diversity accomplishments from job candidates | 37 | 57\% | 7 | 47\% | 44 | 55\% |
| Require search firms to include diverse candidates | 38 | 58\% | 11 | 73\% | 49 | 61\% |
| Require diverse job candidates to be included in pool of all job candidates | 21 | 32\% | 6 | 40\% | 27 | 34\% |

## Strategies Used to Retain Faculty of Diverse Ethnicities, Races, and Genders

|  | Public Schools |  | Private Schools |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Institutions | Percentage | Institutions | Percentage | Institutions | Percentage |
| Affinity or employee resource groups for employees | 54 | 83\% | 7 | 47\% | 61 | 76\% |
| Mentor programs for diverse junior faculty | 55 | 85\% | 13 | 87\% | 68 | 85\% |
| Start-up research funds for new diverse faculty | 35 | 54\% | 8 | 53\% | 43 | 54\% |
| Family-flexible tenure timelines | 45 | 69\% | 10 | 67\% | 55 | 69\% |
| Graduate research support for new diverse faculty | 35 | 54\% | 9 | 60\% | 44 | 55\% |
| Participation in diversity-related programming considered in tenure and promotion processes | 38 | 58\% | 9 | 60\% | 47 | 59\% |
| Cohort-driven leadership programs for diverse junior faculty | 26 | 40\% | 9 | 60\% | 35 | 44\% |

## LEADERSHIP AND ACCOUNTABILITY

## Role the Chancellor or President Plays in Campus Diversity Policies

|  | Public Schools |  |  |  | Private Schools |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Strongly Agree | Agree | Undecided | Total | Strongly Agree | Agree | Total |
| Shows a visible commitment to diversity in speeches, written correspondence, and public appearances | 61 | 4 | 0 | 65 | 15 | 0 | 15 |
| Ensures that senior leadership are engaged in campus diversity agenda | 52 | 13 | 0 | 65 | 13 | 2 | 15 |
| Charges campus-wide diversity committee | 46 | 18 | 1 | 65 | 11 | 4 | 15 |
| Ensures that resources (funding and staff) are available to drive campus diversity efforts | 49 | 16 | 0 | 65 | 12 | 3 | 15 |
| Creates a culture of accountability | 47 | 17 | 1 | 65 | 13 | 2 | 15 |

## Strategies in Place Around Diversity Planning and Accountability

Diversity and inclusion goals and plans embedded in campus-wide strategic plan

Institution's official mission statement includes diversity and inclusion language

Institution has a campus-wide diversity planning committee or task force

Diversity office has opportunity to formally report on campus diversity at meetings of the board of governors, trustees, or regents

Institution uses a diversity scorecard process to measure diversity progress

Institution requires diversity plans from individual schools or colleges

Campus has a centralized diversity plan
Diversity officer makes public institution's annual diversity report

Public Schools
Private Schools
Total

| Institutions | Percentage | Institutions | Percentage | Institutions | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 58 | 89\% | 14 | 93\% | 72 | 90\% |
| 58 | 89\% | 12 | 80\% | 70 | 88\% |
| 63 | 97\% | 12 | 80\% | 75 | 94\% |
| 53 | 82\% | 12 | 80\% | 65 | 81\% |
| 28 | 43\% | 5 | 33\% | 33 | 41\% |
| 28 | 43\% | 5 | 33\% | 33 | 41\% |
| 47 | 72\% | 10 | 67\% | 57 | 71\% |
| 36 | 55\% | 7 | 47\% | 43 | 54\% |

## Diversity Training Programs: Public Schools

|  | Voluntary | Required | No Training | N/A | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Full-time faculty | 44 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 65 |
| Full-time staff | 40 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 65 |
| Adjunct faculty | 47 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 65 |
| Board of trustees or regents | 36 | 9 | 15 | 5 | 65 |
| Full-time students | 44 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 65 |
| LGBTQ training for athletic department | 37 | 18 | 6 | 4 | 65 |
| Senior leadership | 40 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 65 |
| Search committee heads | 16 | 48 | 1 | 0 | 65 |
| Search committee members | 26 | 38 | 1 | 0 | 65 |
| Campus police force | 42 | 20 | 0 | 3 | 65 |

## Diversity Training Programs: Private Schools

|  | Voluntary | Required | No Training | N/A | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Full-time faculty | 6 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 15 |
| Full-time staff | 7 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 15 |
| Adjunct faculty | 7 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 15 |
| Board of trustees or regents | 7 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 15 |
| Full-time students | 5 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 15 |
| LGBTQ training for athletic department | 3 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 15 |
| Senior leadership | 4 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 15 |
| Search committee heads | 4 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 15 |
| Search committee members | 4 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 15 |
| Campus police force | 5 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 15 |

## Unconcious Bias Training: Public Schools

|  | Yes | No | N/A | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Full-time tenured faculty | 54 | 8 | 3 | 65 |
| Full-time non-tenured faculty | 54 | 9 | 2 | 65 |
| Adjunct faculty | 49 | 11 | 5 | 65 |
| Full-time staff | 53 | 9 | 3 | 65 |
| Senior leadership | 52 | 10 | 3 | 65 |
| Search committee heads | 55 | 7 | 3 | 65 |
| Search committee members | 55 | 7 | 3 | 65 |
| Full-time students | 45 | 13 | 7 | 65 |
| Campus police force | 54 | 7 | 4 | 65 |
| Governing board members | 30 | 19 | 16 | 65 |
| Athletic department staff | 49 | 9 | 7 | 65 |

## Unconcious Bias Training: Private Schools

|  | Yes | No | N/A | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Full-time tenured faculty | 9 | 3 | 3 | 15 |
| Full-time non-tenured faculty | 9 | 3 | 3 | 15 |
| Adjunct faculty | 9 | 3 | 3 | 15 |
| Full-time staff | 10 | 3 | 2 | 15 |
| Senior leadership | 8 | 4 | 3 | 15 |
| Search committee heads | 11 | 3 | 1 | 15 |
| Search committee members | 11 | 3 | 1 | 15 |
| Full-time students | 9 | 4 | 2 | 15 |
| Campus police force | 8 | 2 | 5 | 15 |
| Governing board members | 4 | 8 | 3 | 15 |
| Athletic department staff | 5 | 3 | 7 | 15 |

## Activation of Institutional Diversity Plan: Public Schools

|  | Strongly Agree | Agree | Undecided | Disagree | Strongly Disagree | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chief diversity officer provides point leadership in the campus-wide diversity implementation process | 56 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 65 |
| Campus-wide diversity committee ensures that many different people are involved in shaping the campus's diversity strategy | 55 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 65 |
| Senior leadership ensure that diversity is prioritized in their respective schools, colleges, and divisional units | 36 | 26 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 65 |
| Students play a key role in leading diversity change efforts on campus | 50 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 65 |
| Campus diversity efforts are data-driven and evidence-based | 44 | 17 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 65 |
| Adequate financial resources exist to drive campus diversity efforts | 28 | 28 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 65 |

## Activation of Institutional Diversity Plan: Private Schools

|  | Strongly Agree | Agree | Undecided | Disagree | Strongly <br> Disagree | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chief diversity officer provides point leadership in the campus-wide diversity implementation process | 11 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| Campus-wide diversity committee ensures that many different people are involved in shaping the campus's diversity strategy | 11 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| Senior leadership ensure that diversity is prioritized in their respective schools, colleges, and divisional units | 11 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| Students play a key role in leading diversity change efforts on campus | 11 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| Campus diversity efforts are data-driven and evidence-based | 11 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| Adequate financial resources exist to drive campus diversity efforts | 9 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |

## Diversity-Focused Financial Strategies

|  | Public Schools |  | Private Schools |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Institutions | Percentage | Institutions | Percentage | Institutions | Percentage |
| We apply for federal diversity grants (e.g., NSF, NIH, U.S. Department of Education) | 59 | 91\% | 10 | 67\% | 69 | 86\% |
| Diversity goals are embedded into the overall budget process | 50 | 77\% | 15 | 100\% | 65 | 81\% |
| We have campus-wide diversity incentive grants (e.g., pool of funds for which anyone can compete) | 41 | 63\% | 10 | 67\% | 51 | 64\% |
| We have a diversity-themed alumni fundraising program | 41 | 63\% | 7 | 47\% | 48 | 60\% |
| We have a qualified diversity endowment | 25 | 39\% | 5 | 33\% | 30 | 38\% |
| We have an annual diversity fundraising program | 28 | 43\% | 4 | 27\% | 32 | 40\% |
| We have a dedicated development officer focused on diversity | 26 | 40\% | 11 | 73\% | 37 | 46\% |
| We have financial resources set aside for internal diversity and inclusion awards | 48 | 73\% | 10 | 67\% | 58 | 72\% |

## Response to Campus Incidents: Public Schools

|  | Did Nothing | Called Police | President Responded | Other Administrator Responded | Only Chief Diversity Officer Responded | Media Presence Triggered Response | Ongoing Resolutions Are Being Addressed | Protests Continue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student protests on campus | 1 | 5 | 41 | 38 | 1 | 1 | 30 | 2 |
| List of demands by students for change | 1 | 1 | 33 | 31 | 2 | 0 | 33 | 1 |
| Hate speech in public areas | 0 | 25 | 39 | 31 | 2 | 2 | 30 | 0 |
| Vandalism to buildings | 0 | 22 | 15 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 |
| Controversial speaker on campus | 1 | 5 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 |
| Political protests on campus | 0 | 3 | 23 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 0 |

## Response to Campus Incidents: Private Schools

|  | Did <br> Nothing | Called <br> Police | President <br> Responded | Other <br> Administrator <br> Responded | Only Chief <br> Diversity <br> officer <br> Responded | Media <br> Presence <br> Triggered <br> Response | Ongoing <br> Resolutions <br> Are Being <br> Addressed | Protests <br> Continue |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student protests <br> on campus | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 |

## INSTITUTIONAL BRANDING

## Multicultural Branding and Communication Techniques

Social media used for multicultural marketing
(e.g., YouTube, Instagram, Twitter, Facebook, etc.)
Diversity advertising and outreach campaigns
(e.g., display/image advertising in diversity
publications, billboards, commercials, etc.)
Student ambassadors communicate campus
diversity to prospective students and their parents
Diversity-themed admissions materials and
brochures
Display all diversity awards received on website
Diversity link on website homepage
Multicultural communications officer or specialist

| Institutions | Percentage | Institutions | Percentage | Institutions | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 63 | $97 \%$ | 14 | $93 \%$ | 77 | $96 \%$ |
| 60 | $92 \%$ | 14 | $93 \%$ | 74 | $93 \%$ |
| 61 | $94 \%$ | 13 | $87 \%$ | 74 | $93 \%$ |
| 54 | $83 \%$ | 14 | $93 \%$ | 68 | $85 \%$ |
| 62 | $95 \%$ | 12 | $80 \%$ | 74 | $93 \%$ |
| 48 | $74 \%$ | 13 | $87 \%$ | 61 | $76 \%$ |
| 27 | $42 \%$ | 4 | $27 \%$ | 31 | $39 \%$ |

## Diversity-Related Pages on Institutional Website

|  | Public Schools |  | Private Schools |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Institutions | Percentage | Institutions | Percentage | Institutions | Percentage |
| Disability services office | 65 | 100\% | 15 | 100\% | 80 | 100\% |
| Diversity office | 65 | 100\% | 13 | 87\% | 78 | 98\% |
| International office | 65 | 100\% | 12 | 80\% | 77 | 96\% |
| Veterans' office | 64 | 98\% | 7 | 47\% | 71 | 89\% |
| Study abroad office | 60 | 92\% | 13 | 87\% | 73 | 91\% |
| LGBTQ office | 50 | 77\% | 7 | 47\% | 57 | 71\% |
| Supplier diversity office | 33 | 51\% | 5 | 33\% | 38 | 48\% |
| Religious services office | 24 | 37\% | 8 | 53\% | 32 | 40\% |
| Multicultural affairs office | 51 | 78\% | 11 | 73\% | 62 | 78\% |

## Diversity-Related Information on Institutional Website

Public School

| Institutions | Percentage | Institutions | Percentage | Institutions | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65 | 100\% | 15 | 100\% | 80 | 100\% |
| 58 | 89\% | 14 | 93\% | 72 | 90\% |
| 45 | 69\% | 6 | 40\% | 51 | 64\% |
| 52 | 80\% | 8 | 53\% | 60 | 75\% |
| 44 | 68\% | 6 | 40\% | 50 | 63\% |
| 42 | 65\% | 8 | 53\% | 50 | 63\% |
| 26 | 40\% | 6 | 40\% | 32 | 40\% |

## CHIEF DIVERSITY OFFICER ROLE

## Tactics Used to Understand Issues of Campus Climate, Inclusion, and Satisfaction

Campus climate survey for students
Campus climate survey for administrators

Campus climate survey for faculty
Campus climate survey for staff
Exit interviews for employees

Exit interviews for students
Diversity mapping of institutional capabilities

Diversity benchmarking efforts
Follow-up with job candidates who declined job offers

| Public Schools |
| :---: |
| Private Schools |
| Institutions |
| 58 |

## Diversity Council

Diversity council reports to the president
Diversity council meets at least quarterly
Diversity council includes administrators

Diversity council includes faculty
Diversity council includes staff
Diversity council includes students
Diversity council has input on campus-wide diversity planning

Public Schools
Private Schools
Total

## Chief Diversity Officer's Role Positioned for Success

Our chief diversity officer has input in the budget for his/her office

Our chief diversity officer has his/her own budget
Our chief diversity officer position is an executive-level role

Our chief diversity officer can attend governing board meetings to present the case for diversity

Our chief diversity officer reports to the president
Our chief diversity officer has a deciding vote on the diversity council

| Public Schools |
| :---: |
| Institutions |
| Percentage |
| 59 |

## Strategies for Helping Students Develop Cultural Competence

|  | Public Schools |  | Private Schools |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Institutions | Percentage | Institutions | Percentage | Institutions | Percentage |
| Multicultural clubs and/or organizations for minority students | 65 | 100\% | 15 | 100\% | 80 | 100\% |
| Multicultural events on campus | 65 | 100\% | 15 | 100\% | 80 | 100\% |
| Issues of diversity are woven into first-year experience program | 61 | 94\% | 11 | 73\% | 72 | 90\% |
| General education diversity course - voluntary | 44 | 68\% | 6 | 40\% | 50 | 63\% |
| Program that provides opportunities for Pell Grant students to study abroad | 47 | 72\% | 11 | 73\% | 58 | 73\% |
| Faculty are requested to incorporate diversity into their curriculum | 51 | 78\% | 9 | 60\% | 60 | 75\% |
| Diversity courses for students | 62 | 95\% | 13 | 87\% | 75 | 94\% |

## Campus Celebrations

|  | Public Schools |  | Private Schools | Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African American History Month | Institutions | Percentage | Institutions | Percentage | Institutions | Percentage |
| Hispanic American Heritage Month | 65 | $100 \%$ | 15 | $100 \%$ | 80 | $100 \%$ |
| Martin Luther King Jr. Day | 64 | $98 \%$ | 13 | $87 \%$ | 77 | $96 \%$ |
| LGBTQ Pride Month | 64 | $98 \%$ | 15 | $100 \%$ | 79 | $99 \%$ |
| Women's History Month | 61 | $94 \%$ | 12 | $80 \%$ | 73 | $91 \%$ |
| Asian-Pacific American Heritage Month | 63 | $97 \%$ | 13 | $87 \%$ | 76 | $95 \%$ |
| Native American Heritage Month | 55 | $85 \%$ | 11 | $73 \%$ | 66 | $83 \%$ |
| National Disability Employment Awareness Month | 52 | $80 \%$ | 9 | $60 \%$ | 61 | $76 \%$ |

## Affinity or Employee Resource Groups

|  | Public Schools |  | Private Schools | Total |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African American/Black affinity group | Institutions | Percentage | Institutions | Percentage | Institutions | Percentage |  |
| LGBTQ affinity group | 58 | $89 \%$ | 8 | $53 \%$ | 66 | $83 \%$ |  |
| Hispanic/Latino affinity group | 50 | $77 \%$ | 8 | $53 \%$ | 58 | $73 \%$ |  |
| Women's affinity group | 50 | $77 \%$ | 7 | $47 \%$ | 57 | $71 \%$ |  |
| Asian American/Pacific Islander affinity group |  | 74 | $72 \%$ | 7 | $47 \%$ | 54 | $68 \%$ |
| Veterans' affinity group | 34 | $52 \%$ | 6 | $40 \%$ | 40 | $50 \%$ |  |
| Native American affinity group | 35 | $54 \%$ | 5 | $33 \%$ | 40 | $50 \%$ |  |
| Disability affinity group | 21 | $32 \%$ | 5 | $33 \%$ | 26 | $33 \%$ |  |
| Religious-affiliated affinity groups | 26 | $40 \%$ | 3 | $20 \%$ | 29 | $36 \%$ |  |

## Offerings for Students with Disabilities

|  | Public Schools |  | Private Schools |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Institutions | Percentage | Institutions | Percentage | Institutions | Percentage |
| Instructional materials in accessible formats | 64 | 98\% | 15 | 100\% | 79 | 99\% |
| Wheelchair-accessible curbs and building ramps | 65 | 100\% | 15 | 100\% | 80 | 100\% |
| Wheelchair-accessible buildings (excluding certified historic ones) | 64 | 98\% | 15 | 100\% | 79 | 99\% |
| Online learning systems accessible to all students | 62 | 95\% | 15 | 100\% | 77 | 96\% |
| Sign language translators for the classroom | 64 | 98\% | 15 | 100\% | 79 | 99\% |
| Elevators in all buildings (excluding certified historic ones) | 61 | 94\% | 12 | 80\% | 73 | 91\% |
| Computer-Assisted Real-Time Captioning | 57 | 88\% | 14 | 93\% | 71 | 89\% |
| Accessible online employment application | 55 | 85\% | 14 | 93\% | 69 | 86\% |
| Textbooks in braille | 58 | 89\% | 14 | 93\% | 72 | 90\% |
| Section 508 website compliance | 59 | 91\% | 13 | 87\% | 72 | 90\% |

## LGBTQ Issues

|  | Public Schools |  | Private Schools |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Institutions | Percentage | Institutions | Percentage | Institutions | Percentage |
| Gender-neutral bathrooms | 64 | 98\% | 13 | 87\% | 77 | 96\% |
| Same-sex partner healthcare benefits for employees | 57 | 88\% | 13 | 87\% | 70 | 88\% |
| Trans-inclusive health benefits | 31 | 48\% | 10 | 67\% | 41 | 51\% |

## INSTITUTIONAL DIVERSITY PROGRESS

## Increases in Underrepresented Students and Employees: Public Schools

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| We have increased the racial and ethnic diversity of <br> full-time tenured faculty over the past five years | Strongly <br> Agree | Agree | Undecided | Disagree <br> Strongly <br> Disagree <br> We have increased the number of female full-time <br> tenured faculty over the past five years <br> We have increased the racial and ethnic diversity of <br> full-time non-tenured faculty over the past five years | 20 | 29 | 7 |

## Increases in Underrepresented Students and Employees: Private Schools

|  | Strongly Agree | Agree | Undecided | Disagree | Strongly Disagree | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| We have increased the racial and ethnic diversity of full-time tenured faculty over the past five years | 4 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 15 |
| We have increased the number of female full-time tenured faculty over the past five years | 6 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 15 |
| We have increased the racial and ethnic diversity of full-time non-tenured faculty over the past five years | 4 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 15 |
| We have increased the number of female full-time non-tenured faculty over the past five years | 5 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 15 |
| We have increased the number of women in leadership positions (deans and above) over the past five years | 6 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 15 |
| We have increased the number of female STEM faculty members over the past five years | 5 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 15 |
| We have increased the number of full-time underrepresented students over the past five years | 5 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 15 |

## About INSIGHT Into Diversity

INSIGHT Into Diversity is the oldest and largest diversity magazine and website in higher education today. For over 40 years, INSIGHT Into Diversity has connected potential employees with institutions and businesses choosing to embrace a workforce more reflective of our local and national communities.

## ADVERTISING

Employers advertising with /NS/GHT Into Diversity magazine reach hundreds of thousands of readers per issue. In addition, more than 250,000 people visit our website monthly. No other diversity publication comes close to our numbers.

We serve as a comprehensive recruiting vehicle for our advertisers because our definition of diversity goes beyond race and ethnicity. Our pool of job seekers is broad and vast, in part because we've formed strategic partnerships with organizations representing a wide range of talented individuals.

INSIGHT Into Diversity successfully connects employers to the most highly qualified individuals regardless of race, color, national origin, religion, gender, age, disability, medical condition or history, veteran status, gender identity or expression, and sexual orientation.

## NEWS \& FEATURES

The magazine also strives to advance the national conversation about diversity and inclusion through thought-provoking articles on current trends and relevant news; interviews with innovators, thought leaders, and experts; explorations of best practices; and profiles of successful programs and initiatives. We hope to engage readers with the goal of achieving a more inclusive culture on both academic and corporate campuses.

## Campus Climate Surveys

## NOW AVAILABLE!

## The Most Comprehensive, Affordable Campus Climate Assessment Tool

## Understanding your campus's climate is an important first step toward ensuring a positive, enriching experience for all students and employees.

Viewfinder™ Campus Climate Surveys are designed to help colleges and universities measure and assess both their strengths and weaknesses around diversity and inclusion efforts for students, faculty, staff, and administrators.

Brought to you by the diversity experts at INSIGHT Into Diversity magazine, the most well-respected diversity and inclusion publication in higher education, Viewfinder ${ }^{T M}$ is the only campus climate survey instrument of its kind. With in-depth, targeted questions, our surveys provide insight into what shapes the experiences and perceptions of all individuals on campus - including all underrepresented groups - with regard to diversity, equity, inclusion, and culture to help institutions create more inclusive campuses.

We, like you, are committed to ensuring that all students and employees have the opportunity to live, learn, and work in a safe, supportive, respectful, and welcoming environment.

Starting at just \$4,250, including administration, Viewfinder ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Campus Climate Surveys:

- Address many of the requirements of higher education accrediting agencies to help institutions meet standards
- Ensure anonymity and increase response rates with external administration by Campus Climate Surveys, LLC
- Allow institutions to make an unlimited number of survey modifications
- Give institutions the ability to offer incentives to respondents
- Provide updates on response rates via interim and final reports
- Offer consulting services from one of the most knowledgeable diversity experts in the U.S., Dr. Ken Coopwood Sr.

To purchase or learn more about Viewfinder ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Campus Climate Surveys, visit viewfindersurveys.com or email Deneen Wilson at dwilson@viewfindersurveys.com.

# INSIGHR- 

## Contact Us:

INSIGHT Into Diversity
50 Crestwood Executive Center, Suite 526
St. Louis, MO 63126

Phone: 314.200.9955
Fax: 314.756.2036
www.insightintodiversity.com

